

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,913	07/03/2003	Alastair M. Reed	P0851	4658
	7590 05/16/200 ORPORATION	EXAMINER		INER .
9405 SW GEMINI DRIVE BEAVERTON, OR 97008			DESIRE, GREGORY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

····		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		10/613,913	REED ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gregory M. Desire	2624		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		oʻ		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 11-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 11-13 and 19-22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14-18 and 23-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	_		
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, .			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage		
Attachmen	tie)				
1)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to amendment filed 2/28/07.

### Response to Amendment

2. Examiner withdraws the 35 USC 101 rejections in the office action filed 11/28/06.

# **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 14-18 and 23-29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,590,996. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because since independent claims 14 and 23 of the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

application is broader than independent claims 1, 9 and 10 of patent '996, the features of independent claims of Patent '996 is fully disclosed in the independent claims of the instant application. Regarding dependent claims 15-18 and 24-29 of the instant application, it is similarly rejected to dependent claims 2-7 and 11-12 of patent '996.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 11-13 and 19-22 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for independent claims 11 and 19. Prior art fails to teach the method of encoding a digital water mark based on color characteristics, transformed group samples at a color channel that receive encoding into a transform domain and altering coefficients of the color channel. Claims 12-13 and 20-22 depend on claims 11 and 19, respectively. Therefore are also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

G.D. May 07, 2007

GREGORY DESIRE

Dregory Dosine